## KLESTADT WINTERS JURELLER SOUTHARD & STEVENS, LLP

200 West 41<sup>st</sup> Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10036-7203

Telephone: (212) 972-3000 Facsimile: (212) 972-2245

Fred Stevens Lauren Kiss Kevin Collins

Counsel to Prime Capital Ventures, LLC, Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X	
In re:	:	
	:	Chapter 11
PRIME CAPITAL VENTURES, LLC,	:	•
	:	Case No. 24-11029-REI
Debtor.	:	
	X	

DEBTOR'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER, PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. P. 2004, AUTHORIZING THE DEBTOR TO EXAMINE AND SEEK DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION FROM PARTIES IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEBTOR'S TRANSFERS TO INDIGO PHARMACEUTICAL LLC

## TO THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. LITTLEFIELD, JR., UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Prime Capital Ventures, LLC, the above-captioned debtor (the "<u>Debtor</u>"), by and through its counsel, Klestadt Winters Jureller Southard & Stevens, LLP, hereby submits this application (the "<u>Application</u>") seeking the entry of an order, pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2004, authorizing the Debtor to issue subpoenas (A) in substantially the same form as that annexed hereto as <u>Exhibit</u> <u>A</u> (the "<u>Proposed Subpoena</u>") demanding the production of all documents, related to the Debtor, the Debtor's affiliates, and/or property of the Debtor, the Debtor's relationship to Indigo Pharmaceutical LLC ("Indigo") (each an "Examinee," and collectively, the "Examinees"), by

entry of an order in substantially the same form as that attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u> (the "<u>Proposed</u> <u>Order</u>"). In support of the Application, the Debtor respectfully represents as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Debtor was created by its Principal, Kris Roglieri, in December 2021 as "a fund specifically designed to provide capital for large development, commercial development and commercial real estate transactions from \$50 million to more than \$1 billion." The Debtor advertised that it would offer non-recourse lines of credit with rates at 4 to 6% with interest-only payments but would require borrowers to provide 20% cash upfront based on the total project cost (the "Borrower Prepayments"). The Borrower Prepayments would be held by the Debtor "as prepaid interest throughout the term of the loan." The Debtor obtained millions of dollars in Borrower Prepayments from multiple companies throughout the country which in most cases, upon information and belief, were used by the Debtor and Roglieri before the loans were closed and funded.

On May 28, 2024, a criminal complaint was filed by the United States of America (the "Government") against Roglieri commencing Case No. 24-cr-00392 (MAD) (N.D.N.Y.) (the "Criminal Case"), wherein Roglieri is charged with five counts of wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, and for forfeiture of assets pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).<sup>4</sup> In the Criminal Case, the Government alleges, among other things, that Roglieri and others used the Debtor to operate a fraud and money laundering scheme.<sup>5</sup> Roglieri was arrested

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Petitioning Creditors' Motion for a Trustee, Case No. 23-11302, Dkt. No. 4, ¶¶ 22-23 (Dec. 19, 2023) (quoting May 2, 2022, marketing in DealMaker Magazine).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id., ¶26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Id., ¶37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Criminal Case, Dkt. No. 1 and Indictment at Dkt. No. 35 (Sept. 19, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Criminal Case, Dkt. No. 1, ¶4.

on May 31, 2024<sup>6</sup> and has been incarcerated since his arrest.<sup>7</sup>

The Debtor is now under the custody and control of Christian H. Dribusch ("<u>Dribusch</u>"), in his capacity as the chapter 7 trustee (the "<u>Roglieri Trustee</u>") of Roglieri's bankruptcy estate, the owner of all membership interests in the Debtor. By and through current management, the Debtor is conducting an extensive investigation of the Debtor's financial affairs.

Indigo is one of the Debtor's so-called success stories. In an over 9-minute promotional video published by the Debtor on YouTube and other social media outlets on or around April 20, 2023, the Debtor boasted that it "just funded Indigo Pharmaceutical for \$20,000,000".



That video featured Indigo's principals and was professionally shot in Indigo's facilities in Las Vegas, Nevada. Dr. Murray Friedman, Chairman of Indigo's board, provided a lengthy explanation and "sales pitch" for the Debtor's business model in that video as follows:

In a normal loan, you put down your 20% and the bank comes in with 80%. With a Prime Capital Ventures loan, you put down your 20% in your ICA [Borrower

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Id. at Dkt. No. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Id. at Dkt. No. 32 (Aug. 5, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Prime Commercial Lending, <u>Prime Capital Ventures Presents Indigo Pharmaceutical in Las Vegas, NV</u>, [Video], April 20, 2023, <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GvmECqnuBky">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GvmECqnuBky</a> (YouTube) at 0:11.

Prepayment] and Prime Capital Ventures comes in with the full amount. The 100%. The \$20 million in my case. So I have now \$4 million in an ICA, which is also an interest reserve, which pays my payments and is an asset on my balance sheet, and Prime Capital Ventures gave me \$20 million to fund my company. Most people are used to putting the money in escrow and then waiting and waiting and waiting. Am I going to get the commitment? Am I not going to get the commitment? Am I going to get the loan? Am I not going to get the loan? And every time you get a commitment it could be Swiss cheese because for whatever reason they don't want to do it anymore which happened to me once. . . . So with Prime, they're as committed as much as we are because we put the money in that's true, but the money goes into a trust and you wait for the documentation of a trust meaning that you have already been accepted. It is just a matter of time until you get funded. So once you understand that, although you're waiting, and that can sometimes give people agita, but you know that the loan is going to come through because the trust has accepted all your documentation in advance and you know whether its 60 or 90 days, banking days actually, you are going to get funded. It's just a matter of time.

See Id. at 2:50 (emphases added) (transcribed by counsel).

For these reasons, the Debtor respectfully requests entry of the Proposed Order, authorizing the Debtor to serve subpoenas *duces tecum*, in substantially the same form as the Proposed Subpoena, upon each of the Examinees, which include the following:

EXAMINEE(S)	RELATIONSHIP
Indigo Pharmaceutical LLC	Borrower in \$20+ million loan with the Debtor.
ARX, Accurate RX Specialty	Related company to Indigo and original applicant for
Pharmacy, Corp.	the loan and beneficiary of loan proceeds.
Dr. Murray Friedman	Chairman of Indigo's Board who provided a lengthy
	explanation of the Debtor's business model in online
	videos.
Truss Financial LLC (" <u>Truss</u> ")	Funder of a portion of Indigo's Borrower Prepayment
	to the Debtor. Entity also funded other of the Debtor's
	loans and commenced litigation against the Debtor.
REV2, LLC	Loan broker for Truss's funding to Indigo.
CJI Trading LLC	Designated by Truss as party to repay Indigo's
	obligations to Truss. Recipient of \$3,048,750 transfer
	from the Debtor on August 30, 2022.

#### **JURISDICTION**

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the bankruptcy case and this Application pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A),

and Rule 81.1 of the Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York referring matters arising under Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") to the Bankruptcy Court (Jan. 1, 2024).

- 2. Venue of this case is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 3. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein is Rule 2004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules")

## CASE BACKGROUND

#### A. The Debtor's Bankruptcy Cases and Receivership

- 4. By December 2023, the Debtor was besieged by litigation largely from borrowers who did not get their loans funded and wanted the return of their Borrower Prepayments. *See*, *e.g.*, *Tuss Financial LLC v. Prime Capital*, *et al.*, Index No. 2023/510389 (N.Y.Sup. Ct. Kings Cty. Apr. 5, 2023) (seeking and apparently obtaining the return of \$13.4 million); *B&R Acquisition Partners v. Prime Capital* (JAMS Arb., Aug. 2023) (seeking the return of \$4 million); *Sturm v. Prime Capital*, Case No. 23-cv-1033 (N.D.N.Y. Aug. 22, 2023) (seeking the return of \$2 million); *Camshaft CRE 1*, *LLC v. Prime Capital*, Case No. 2023-023173 (Fla. Circ. Ct., Miami-Dade Cty., Sept. 15, 2023) (seeking the return of \$13.4 million); *The Lion Group DFW*, *LLC v. Prime Capital*, Case No. 23-DCV-34617 (Tex. Dist. Ct., 146<sup>th</sup> Dist., Sept. 21, 2023) (demand unknown); *Onward Partners*, *LLC*, *v. Prime Capital*, *et al.*, Case No. 23-cv-833 (D. Utah Nov. 13, 2023) (seeking the return of \$20 million).
- 5. On December 19, 2023, Compass-Charlotte 1031 ("Compass"), LLC, 526 Murfreesboro, LLC, and Newlight Technologies, Inc. (collectively, the "Petitioning Creditors") filed an involuntary petition against the Debtor for relief under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code commencing Case No. 23-11302 ("Case 1"). The Petitioning Creditors commenced Case 1 for the

Case 24-11029-1-rel Doc 226 Filed 05/06/25 Entered 05/06/25 11:26:45 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 14

obvious purpose of ensuring that the Debtor's assets and liabilities could be liquidated in a single, public forum, and that no single creditor could win the proverbial "race to the courthouse."

- 6. On January 8, 2024, the Petitioning Creditors filed their own motion to dismiss Case 1 [Case 1 Dkt. No. 74], which motion was granted by the Court on January 9, 2024 [Case 1 Dkt. No. 87].
- 7. Three (3) days after dismissal of Case 1, on January 12, 2024, Petitioning Creditor Compass-Charlotte 1031, LLC commenced an action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York (the "District Court") under Case No. 24-cv-00055 (MAD) (CFH) (N.D.N.Y. Jan. 12, 1014) (the "Receivership Case"), seeking, among other things, the appointment of a federal equity receiver to take possession and control of the Debtor's assets and affairs. That same day, the District Court entered an order appointing Paul Levine as the temporary receiver (the "Receiver"). Receivership Case Dkt. No. 8.
- 8. On February 15, 2024, Roglieri filed a voluntary petition for relief under subchapter V of chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code commencing Case No. 24-10157 (REL) (the "Roglieri Case").
- 9. On May 14, 2024, the Debtor, by and through the Receiver, filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in this Court commencing Case No. 24-10531 ("Case 2").
- 10. On May 15, 2024, the Court entered an order converting Roglieri's case to one under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. Roglieri Case at Dkt. No. 159. Thereafter, the United States Trustee appointed Dribusch as the interim chapter 7 trustee. *Id.* at Dkt. No. 160. Dribusch has since qualified and is currently serving as permanent trustee, defined above as the "Roglieri Trustee."

Case 24-11029-1-rel Doc 226 Filed 05/06/25 Entered 05/06/25 11:26:45 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 14

- 11. On June 25, 2024, B and R Acquisitions Partners, LLC and JHM Lending Ventures, LLC filed a motion to dismiss Case 2, claiming that the Receiver was not authorized to file the petition on behalf of the Debtor because he lacked the authority to do so pursuant to the terms of the orders of the District Court. Case 2 at Dkt. No. 57.
- 12. On July 23, 2024, the Court entered a memorandum decision and order dismissing Case 2 finding essentially that the Receiver did not have the authority to file Case 2 on behalf of the Debtor and that the Roglieri Trustee did not have the ability to waive the defect and ratify the filing *ex post facto*. *Id.* at Dkt. No. 85. The Debtor appealed that decision and order, which appeal is pending before the District Court at Case No. 24-civ-000939.
- 13. On September 16, 2024, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in this Court commencing this bankruptcy case. In this case, the Debtor is under the control of the Roglieri Trustee as the party in possession and control of all equity interests in the Debtor, who is acting as the manager of the Debtor.

## B. The Debtor's Need to Investigate the Debtor's Financial Affairs

- 14. Roglieri is currently incarcerated pending trial and has been combative with the Roglieri Trustee and Debtor from his detention facility. See, e.g., Roglieri Request for Payment of \$100,000 seized by the Roglieri Trustee to Roglieri's criminal counsel, Roglieri Case Dkt. No. 269 (Oct. 7, 2024); Roglieri's Objection to Debtor's Proposed Abandonment of Property, Dkt. No. 39 (Oct. 15, 2024).
- 15. Moreover, in text messages discovered by the Government, Roglieri has admitted to an undisclosed person to committing criminal acts and trying to conceal that fact as follows:

Date	Time	From	Message
1/4/2024	11:20:42	Unknown	Can't you just keep working on those companies?
	am		
			I know it might be hard at first, but look what you build this up to beat from nothing
			this up to ocat from nothing
1/4/2024	11:20:56	Roglieri	Yea I can but not when I'm in f—king prison
	am		
1/4/2024	11:21:09	Unknown	Why do you think that's gonna happen?
	am		Why can't it just be a bankruptcy?
			why can't it just be a bankrupicy?
1/4/2024	11:21:47	Roglieri	Because I unused others money to fund deals
	am		·
			I already told you this
1/4/2024	11:22:02	Unknown	And that's illegal?
1/4/2024	am	Unknown	And that's illegal?
1/4/2024	11:22:15	Roglieri	Yes
	am		
1/4/2024	11:22:36	Unknown	How bad. I mean, what's the worst you do a couple years
	am		who cares
			You have your family
			Tou have your family
			This whole family will support you through this
			It's a civil case it's not a criminal case
1/4/2024	11:27:42	Roglieri	It will turn criminal as soon as they get into bank
	am		statements

Criminal Case, Dkt. No. 6-1 (June 3, 2024) (emphasis added) (typographical and grammatical errors in originals).

16. In a recent decision on Roglieri's motions for release pending trial in the Criminal Case, the District Court found, among other things, that "the Government has met its burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that [Roglieri] poses a danger to the community" and "that there are no conditions or set of conditions that would ensure the safety of the community if he was released." Criminal Case, Dkt. No. 71, p.41 (Jan. 30, 2025).

- 17. The facts of this case necessitate an investigation by the Debtor of the Debtor's financial affairs while under Roglieri's stewardship and further indicate that Roglieri will be unhelpful and unreliable in connection with that investigation.
- 18. The Debtor's investigation is significantly challenged by the lack of available books and records, which Roglieri failed to preserve.

## C. The Debtor's Relationship to Indigo

- 19. ARX, Accurate RX Specialty Pharmacy Corp. ("ARX") is an entity owned and operated by Dr. Murray Friedman at 85-48 118<sup>th</sup> Street, Kew Gardens, NY 11415. On its website, ARX states that it is "[c]ombining the traditional art of pharmacy and modern medicine with new technology to solve unique patent problems". *See* https://arxspecialtypharmacy.com/.
- 20. Some combination of ARX, Dr. Friedman, and his daughter, Sima Koegel, created Indigo. Ms. Koegel is the majority owner and CEO of Indigo. Indigo operates its pharmaceutical development and manufacturing facility at 270 Martin Luther King Blvd., Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 21. On or around June 2, 2022, Indigo entered into a Business Expansion Line of Credit Agreement with the Debtor (the "LOC Agreement"). The LOC Agreement called for a \$4 million Borrower Prepayment by Indigo and \$20 million in funding from the Debtor.
- 22. Upon information and belief, \$2,625,000 of Indigo's Borrower Prepayment was funded by Truss, a lending business located at 450 West Nyack Road, Suite 310, West Nyack, New York. According to a payoff letter from Truss, the loan from Truss carried interest at a rate of over 110% per annum.
- 23. The Debtor funded the loan under the LOC Agreement by direct transfers to Indigo from October 4, 2022 to October 24, 2023, totaling approximately \$16 million. Upon information and belief, the remaining \$4 million was funded directly to Truss on account of its funding Indigo's Borrower Prepayment.

Case 24-11029-1-rel Doc 226 Filed 05/06/25 Entered 05/06/25 11:26:45 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 14

- 24. On April 20, 2023, the Debtor published the promotional video that it shot with Indigo and its management wherein Dr. Friedman praised the Debtor's business model and indicated that his entire loan had been funded.
- 25. On April 5, 2023, Truss commenced a lawsuit against the Debtor in New York State Supreme Court, Kings County, under Index No. 510389/2023, by the filing of a summons. The summons said very little other than the allegation that the Debtor owed Truss \$13.4 million. That litigation was voluntarily discontinued by Truss 47 days later on May 22, 2023. Interestingly, Truss was represented in that case by Ainsworth Gorkin PLLC, the same firm that now purports to represent Indigo. Upon information and belief, that short-lived lawsuit dealt with Truss's alleged funding of Borrower Prepayments in other lending arrangement between the Debtor and third party borrowers separate and apart from Indigo.
- 26. The Debtor now needs to understand the relationships and transactions between Truss, Indigo, the Debtor, and related parties, in order to assess its prospects of recovering the \$20+ million owed by Indigo.

#### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

- 27. Bankruptcy Rule 2004(a) provides that "[o]n the motion of any party in interest, the court may order the examination of any entity." It is well established that the investigation envisioned by this rule is broad-based, relating to any and all matters affecting the administration of the debtor's estate, as well as the conduct, liabilities or property of the debtor.
- 28. Discovery under Rule 2004 is broader than that available under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.*, 123 B.R. 702, 711 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991). "As a general proposition, [Bankruptcy] Rule 2004 examinations are appropriate for revealing the nature and extent of the bankruptcy estate and for 'discovering assets, examining

Case 24-11029-1-rel Doc 226 Filed 05/06/25 Entered 05/06/25 11:26:45 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 14

transactions, and determining whether wrongdoing has occurred." *In re Enron Corp.*, 281 B.R. 836, 840 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002) (citations omitted). As such, courts have acknowledged that "[Bankruptcy] Rule 2004 examinations are broad and unfettered and in the nature of fishing expeditions." *Id.*; *see also*, *In re Coffee Cupboard*, *Inc.*, 128 B.R. 509, 514 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1991); *In re Silverman*, 36 B.R. 254, 258 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1984).

- 29. The investigation of the Debtor is precisely what is authorized by Bankruptcy Rule 2004 and the examination of each of the Examinees is required because they are believed to have knowledge and information related to the above-referenced \$20 million lending transaction.
- 30. Bankruptcy Rule 2004 permits the Debtor to issue subpoenas on any parties which the Debtor believes may have information relevant to its property and in furtherance of its investigation.
- 31. The Debtor requires any documents or information related to the Debtor's relationship with any of the Examinees, including communications with the Debtor, and communications amongst Examinees regarding the Debtor or Roglieri. Significantly, the Debtor needs any and all documents or information from the Examinees that will answer vital questions regarding the \$20 million lending transaction with Indigo, and any unrelated transactions with Truss.
- 32. As such, the examination of each of the Examinees is necessary to aid the Debtor in its investigation of its financial affairs, and the existence of claims that it can pursue for the benefit of its creditors.
- 33. The Debtor does not necessarily anticipate requiring oral examinations of the Examinees but requests the right to obtain such examinations following a full and unfettered production of documents.

Case 24-11029-1-rel Doc 226 Filed 05/06/25 Entered 05/06/25 11:26:45 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 14

34. The Debtor respectfully submits that the proposed examination of each of the Examinees is reasonable, necessary, and authorized by Bankruptcy Rule 2004 in furtherance of its necessary investigation.

### PROPOSED PROCEDURE

- 35. The Debtor proposes that the production of documents or electronic data be made at either (i) the offices of the Debtor's counsel, Klestadt Winters Jureller Southard & Stevens, LLP, 200 West 41<sup>st</sup> Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, New York, 10036-7203, or such other location as may be within the 100-mile geographic limitation of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c); or (ii) any other location as agreed to by the Debtor and the Examinees.
- 36. The Debtor proposes to serve the Subpoenas at least fourteen (14) days prior to the due date for the production or inspection of any documents or electronic files.

### **NOTICE**

- 37. Applications for relief under Rule 2004(a) are usually granted *ex-parte*. 9 *Collier on Bankruptcy* ¶ 2004.01[2] (15<sup>th</sup> ed. 2009), *citing 1983 Advisory Committee Note to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2004*, *reprinted in* ch. 2004, App. 2004; *In re Sutera*, 141 B.R. 539, 540 (Bankr. D. Conn. 1992); *In re Wilcher*, 56 B.R. 428, 434 (Bankr. N.D.Ill. 1985); *In re Silverman*, 10 C.B.C.2d 1219, 36 B.R. 254 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1984). Some bankruptcy courts even adopt local rules allowing the service of subpoenas under Bankruptcy Rule 2004(a) without having to file any application at all. See e.g., D.N.J. L.B.R. 2004-1(b).
- 38. Here, out of an abundance of caution, the Debtor has proceeded with the Application by notice and hearing providing each of the specifically named Examinees with an opportunity to object prior to consideration by the Court. Further, the Examinees will have ample

Case 24-11029-1-rel Doc 226 Filed 05/06/25 Entered 05/06/25 11:26:45 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 14

opportunity to seek from this Court protection from any subpoena or information sought by the subpoena issued by the Debtor that the Examinee believes it has a basis to challenge.

- 39. The Debtor further submits that it should be permitted to serve Subpoenas by Federal Express ("FedEx"), other nationally recognized overnight carrier, or any other means of service allowed under Bankruptcy Rule 9016 as appropriate, because personal service on all persons subpoenaed pursuant to the terms of the Proposed Order would be unduly burdensome, expensive and would delay the Debtor's efforts to obtain information essential to uncovering whether causes of action exist that may significantly augment the Debtor's estate.
- 40. Courts in the Second Circuit have authorized alternative service when such service was reasonably "calculated to provide timely actual notice." *Med. Diagnostic Imaging PLLC v. CareCore Nat. LLC*, Case No. 06 CIV 13156, 2008 WL 3833238, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 15, 2008); *Cordius Trust v. Kummerfeld*, Case No. 99 CIV 3700 (DLC), 2000 WL 10268, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 3, 2000) ("Because alternative service by means of certified mail reasonably insures actual receipt of the subpoena by the witness, the "delivery" requirement of Rule 45 will be met"); *In re Shur*, 184 B.R. 640, 644 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1995) (holding that Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (and by extension Bankruptcy Rule 9016) does not require personal service and that other service is permissible provided service was reasonably calculated to give actual notice). Here, delivery by FedEx, other nationally recognized overnight carrier, or other means agreed to by the subpoenaed parties, is reasonably calculated to ensure actual receipt by the persons subject to any Subpoena.
- 41. Based upon the foregoing, the Debtor submits that the proposed notice of the Application and hearing is adequate and that no further notice should be required.

#### **NO PRIOR RELIEF**

42. Except as specifically stated, no previous application for the relief sought herein has been made to this or any other court.

**WHEREFORE**, the Debtor respectfully requests that the relief requested herein be granted and the Court enter an order, substantially in the form of the Proposed Order annexed hereto as Exhibit B, and grant such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York

May 6, 2025

# KLESTADT WINTERS JURELLER SOUTHARD & STEVENS, LLP

By: /s/ Fred Stevens

Fred Stevens Lauren C. Kiss Kevin Collins 200 West 41<sup>st</sup> Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10036

Tel: (212) 972-3000 Fax: (212) 972-2245

Email: fstevens@klestadt.com lkiss@klestadt.com kcollins@klestadt.com

Counsel to Debtor Prime Capital Ventures, LLC